



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/824,226	04/14/2004	Dany Sylvain	7000-341	6350
27820 7590 07/31/2007 WITHROW & TERRANOVA, P.L.L.C. 100 REGENCY FOREST DRIVE SUITE 160 CARY, NC 27518			EXAMINER PHAN, MAN U	
			ART UNIT 2616	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE 07/31/2007	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/824,226	Applicant(s) SYLVAIN, DANY	
	Examiner Man Phan	Art Unit 2616	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 April 2004.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-36 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-4, 12-16, 18-21, 30-34 and 36 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☒ Claim(s) 4-11, 17, 22-29 and 35 is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>9/15/04</u> . | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

1. The application of Sylvain for an "Adaptive dialing" filed 04/14/2004 has been examined. Claims 1-36 are pending in the present application.
2. The applicant should use this period for response to thoroughly and very closely proof read and review the whole of the application for correct correlation between reference numerals in the textual portion of the Specification and Drawings along with any minor spelling errors, general typographical errors, accuracy, assurance of proper use for Trademarks TM, and other legal symbols @, where required, and clarity of meaning in the Specification, Drawings, and specifically the claims (i.e., provide proper antecedent basis for "the" and "said" within each claim). Minor typographical errors could render a Patent unenforceable and so the applicant is strongly encouraged to aid in this endeavor.

Claim Objections

3. Claims 1-2, 4, 11-12, 17 are objected to because of the following informalities: The claims contains the phrase "*adapted to*". It has been held that the recitation that an element is "*adapted to*" perform a function is not a positive limitation but only requires the ability to so perform. It does not constitute a limitation in any patentable sense. *In re Hutchison*, 69 USPQ 138. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371© of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

5. Claims 1, 18 and 19, 36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by Hirsbrunner et al. (US#6,999,770).

Regarding claim 1, Hirsbrunner disclose in Fig. 2 a block diagram of a wireless communication unit arranged for facilitating selective hairpinning of a call in the system comprising: determining a terminating address (i.e., target number) for a terminating party to which a call is to be initiated (col. 6,lines 15-40); determining whether the terminating address (i.e., target number) should be used to directly initiate the call (col. 6,lines 15-40); when the terminating address (i.e., target number) should be used to directly initiate the call, directly initiating the communications with the terminal party using the terminating address (i.e., target number) (col. 12,lines 17-30); and sending the terminating address to a service node (e.g., MSC), which will facilitate an indirect call process using the terminating address to establish the call (i.e., using corresponding hairpin number) (col. 8,lines 10-38).

Regarding claim 18, Hirsbrunner further discloses in Fig. 1 a block diagram illustrated where selective hairpinning of a call through a communication network when the call is originated in another communication network can be implemented, in which network connectivity corresponds to facilitating communications with cellular network, local wireless network, wireless access point, LAN and PSTN.

Regarding claims 19, 36, they are method claims corresponding to the apparatus claims 1, 18 above. Therefore, claims 19, 36 are analyzed and rejected as previously discussed with respect to the claims 1, 18 above.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

7. Claims 2-3, 12-16 and 20-24, 30-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hirsbrunner et al. (US#6,999,770) in view of Goodman et al. (US#7,099,306).

With respect to claim 2, 12-16, the references disclose a novel system and method for routing calls based on the network supporting the telephony terminal, according to the essential features of the claims. Hirsbrunner et al. (US#6,999,770) discloses in Figs. 1-2 the block diagrams illustrated where selective hairpinning of a call through a communication network when the call is originated in another communication network can be implemented, and of a wireless communication unit arranged for facilitating selective hairpinning of a call in the system comprising: at least one communication interface; and a control system associated with the at least one communication interface (col. 5, line 25 to col. 6, line 8): determine a terminating address (i.e., target number) to which a call is to be initiated (col. 6, lines 15-40); determine whether the terminating address should be used to directly initiate the call (col. 12, lines 17-30); when the terminating address should be used to directly initiate the call, directly initiate the call

using the terminating address (col. 12, lines 17-30); and send the terminating address to a service node, which will facilitate an indirect call process using the terminating address to establish the call (i.e., determining whether to hairpin the call or not based on the terminating address) (col. 8, lines 10-38).

However, Hirsbrunner et al. (US#6,999,770) does not disclose expressly the current network connectivity available for the communications with the terminating party. In the same field of endeavor, Goodman et al. (US#7,099,306) teaches in Fig. 5 a flow diagram illustrated a method for executing a call using the stored telephony advertisement packets according to one embodiment of the present invention, in which a user attempts to initiate a call, step 502. The call attempt is based on call address information, such as an E.164 address or a SIP URL. To place the call, the VoIP sends a request over the network to an IP telephony gateway or similar service to perform call setup and address resolution. A check is performed to determine if the telephony gateway is available, step 504. Where the telephony gateway is available, the VoIP software utilizes the signaling services that the telephony gateway provides to place the call, step 506. The VoIP software queries the dynamic dial plan based on the call address information that the user supplies, step 508. The query may comprise any format that the dynamical dial plan supports. For example, where the dynamic dial plan is a relational database, the VoIP software may issue a SQL query based on the call address information. A check is performed to determine if the dynamic dial plan comprises the address information that the user supplies, step 510. For example, where the user supplies a SIP URL, the query determines if IP address information associated with the supplied SIP URL is in the dynamic dial plan. Where the dynamic dial plan does not comprise the address information, step 510, a call failure message is returned to the

Art Unit: 2616

VoIP software, step 512, which may optionally be presented to the user. If, however, the address information is in the dynamic dial plan, step 510, the data is returned from the dynamic dial plan, which is used by the VoIP software to initiate the call, step 514 (Col. 7, lines 40 plus).

Regarding claim 3, the mobile phone's telephone number/ISDN number (also known as its E.163/E.164 address) is an international public telecommunication numbering plan as defined in the International Telecommunication Union's international public telecommunication numbering plan, available at <http://www.itu.int/ITU-T/publications/index.html>. Also, the client device identifiers in SIP requests are known as SIP addresses. A user would initiate and receive a packet switched call using SIP, e.g. to initiate a packet switched call the user would enter the SIP address for the called party (e.g. john@example.org), whilst he/she would initiate and receive a circuit switched call using the DTAP protocol.

Regarding claims 20-24, 30-34, they are method claims corresponding to the apparatus claims 2-3, 12-16 above. Therefore, claims 20-24, 30-34 are analyzed and rejected as previously discussed with respect to the claims 2-3, 12-16 above.

One skilled in the art of communications would recognize the need for facilitating communications over multiple networks utilizing the current network connectivity, and would apply Goodman's teaching of the current network connectivity available for the communications with the terminating party into Hirsbrunner's novel use of the hairpinning of calls. Therefore, It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to apply Goodman's system and method for internet protocol telephony advertisement protocol into Hirsbrunner's selective hairpinning of calls through another network with the

motivation being to provide a system and method for initiating communications with a terminating party based on the currently available network connectivity.

Allowable Subject Matter

8. Claims 4-11, 17 and 22-29, 35 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

9. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: The closest prior art of record fails to disclose or suggest wherein different formats of the terminating address correspond to different types of network connectivity, and to determine the terminating address, the control system is further adapted to: a) determine the network connectivity to use for the communications with the terminating party; and b) provide a select format of the terminating address from the different formats based on the network connectivity, wherein the select format of the terminating address is used to initiate the communications with the terminating party; wherein the at least one communication interface is adapted to facilitate a plurality of different types of network connectivity, and different terminating addresses or different terminating address formats are used to initiate communications with the terminating party, the control system further adapted to determine the network connectivity to initiate communications with the terminating party and determine the terminating address by either: a) selecting one of a plurality of addresses associated with the terminating party as the terminating address based on the network connectivity, or b) modifying an address associated with the terminating party to use as the terminating address based on the network connectivity; wherein the control system is adapted to: a) support a plurality of originating IDs for a user; b) select one

Art Unit: 2616

of the plurality of originating IDs to use in association with initiating the communications with the terminating party; c) determine the terminating address for the terminating party based on the one of the plurality of originating IDs as well as the network connectivity to the at least one communication network, as specifically recited in claims.

10. Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Conclusion

11. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

The Eichen et al. (US#6,940,849) is cited to show the system and method for IP telephony ping.

The Mussman et al. (US#2007/0165607) is cited to show the system and method for providing alternate routing in a network.

The Chia et al. (US#6,882,862) is cited to show the method for interfacing a private branch exchange with a wireless communications network and also with the PSTN.

The Hovell et al. (US#7,116,681) is cited to show the packet network interfacing.

The Amin et al. (US#6,910,074) is cited to show the system and method for service session management in an IP centric distributed network.

The Sylvain (US#2007/0025333) is cited to show the calling non-PSTN numbers via a mobile terminal.

Art Unit: 2616

The Sylvain (US#2007/0025270) is cited to show the using reachability information to facilitate peer-to-peer communications.

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to M. Phan whose telephone number is (571) 272-3149. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon - Fri from 6:00 to 3:00.


If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jay Patel, can be reached on (571) 272-2988. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-2600.

13. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have any questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at toll free 1-866-217-9197.

Mphan

07/26/2007.


MAN U. PHAN
PRIMARY EXAMINER